Notice of Asseveration and Declaration of the Facts, Faith and the Truth

A Notice to agent is a Notice to all principles and a Notice to principle is a Notice to all agents.

This lawful document, called a "Notice of Asseveration and Declaration of the Facts, Faith and the Truth" is being served together with a counter-claim, contradictio, on a point-for-point rebuttal in reference to the instrument DR II 1308/25 (see the marked letters "A." to "M." on the pages of the instrument DR II 1308/25, dated 03.02.2026, that correspond to the matching letters found within this document) that was tossed to me on the date commonly known as the 6th of February 2026, made by the very hand of the living man Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich.

I, the living man Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich hereby asseverate and declare as a living being of sound mind, am created in the image of the Almighty God (Genesis 1:26), the same God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, belonging only unto Him as His humble servant, steward, minister and prophet, for our Messiah (Mashiach) whom we eagerly await (Maranatha) is my King, Redeemer, Saviour and my Master and I kneel or stand under His jurisdiction and authority only.

"Ich bin ein Hebräer und fürchte YHWH, den Gott des Himmels, der das Meer und das Trockene gemacht hat." - von der prophet Jona 1:9

"und wollten den Heiligen Bund nicht entweihen" - 1 Makkabäer 1:67
"so wollen doch ich und meine Söhne und Brüder nicht von Bund unsrer Väter abfallen." - 1 Makkabäer 2:20

"Den Befehlen des Königs werden wir nicht gehorchen und Gott [Allmächtige] auf keine andere Weise dienen." - 1 Makkabäer 2:22

"und sagten: Wir alle wollen lieber schuldlos sterben; Himmel und Erde werden Zeuge sein, dass ihr uns mit Gewalt und Unrecht umbringt." - 1 Makkabäer 2:37

"Denn der Sieg im Kampf kommt vom Himmel und wird nicht durch eine große Zahl errungen." - 1 Makkabäer 3:19

"Aber wie es der Himmel will, so soll es geschehen!" - 1 Makkabäer 3:60

I, Steven Douglas of the family Kisilevich, here-on-in will also simply be known as "Steven" or "the living man Steven", have already made it known by declaration as well as asseveration multiple times to many agents, principles and juristic persons by way of previous lawful documented Notices having been served (the 3rd and Final Notice was sent to the Ministry of Justice on 18.10.2023, served and received on 20.10.2023, see Exhibit A) went unrebutted and now stands as Truth, even in commerce. The silence from the Ministry of Justice as well as all others before and after that 3rd and Final Notice was accepted by me the living man Steven as an agreement, evidencing now and forever the agreement that I the living man Steven am not the trustee for any legal trust, legal entity, juristic person, legal fiction, Ens Legis and I the living man Steven am not the surety for any legal trust, legal entity, juristic person, legal fiction, Ens Legis.

"An unrebutted affidavit stands as truth in commerce."
~ Maxim of Law

"He who leaves the battlefield first loses by default."
~ Maxim of Law

Hopefully one day soon all mankind from all generations will stand in judgement before the Highest Court and Judge, the Messiah. All evil deeds and all crimes practiced by those who live in lawlessness (sin) will be

held accountable either in this world or in the life everlasting to come.

A. In regards to the text: "Herrn Steven Kisilevich", since the claim has been made that this person is suppose to be me the living man Steven, or the claim has been made that I the living man Steven am suppose to be the trustee or surety for the person "Herrn Steven Kisilevich", I the living man Steven now demand to see the evidence.

Person - Wer Träger von Rechten und Pflichten sein kann (Rechtssubjekt), der die geltende Rechtsordnung eine eigene Rechtsfähigkeit zu erkennt so dass sie unabhängig von ihrem Mitgliederbestand selbst Träger von Rechten und Pflichten ist (juristische bzw. früher moralische oder juristische Person). ~ Etymologisches Rechtswörterbuch von Gerhard Köbler, 1995

Persona - Maske, Rolle, Person ~ Etymologisches Rechtswörterbuch, G. Köbler, 1995

Is it not so, that my Almighty God given first name was joindered to the family name (surname) to create the legal fictional person?

B. In regards to the text: "C/O", this is because in the legal fictional realm the person Steven Kisilevich is in the "care of" another juristic person, whereas in reality I the living man Steven am still currently (since the evening of January 27th, 2026) being unlawfully detained against my unalienable natural rights and against my free will, even though there has been no certainty of terms, valuable consideration, signature, meeting of the minds and an honorable contract made in good faith with full disclosure, all of which thereby evidence the lack of jurisdiction (coram non judice).

"Actus judiciarius coram non judice irritus habetur"
A judicial act by a judge without jurisdiction is void
~ Black's Law Dictionary, 4th revised Edition, 1968

Any judicial act by a judge without jurisdiction is not only void but null and void ab initio.

C. In regards to the text: "gegen Herrn Steven Kisilevich", if it would be of any help, I the living man Steven can send a copy of the birth certificate as it is likely the oldest known instrument with the name of the person "Steven Kisilevich" that was given to my ~~mom and dad~~ mother and father sometime after I was borne, so that any juristic persons can take action against the birth certificate. As the birth certificate was made without my knowledge or my full and genuine consent or by any means of a signature, meeting of the minds, made in good faith, honor and with full disclosure, I the living man Steven am not bonded to it or any other legal instrument, legal entity, legal trust, legal fiction, Ens Legis, juristic person.

D. In regards to the text: "Pflicht", since the claim has been made that I the living man Steven am under some kind of obligations or duties, I now demand to see the evidence.

E. In regards to the text: "Schuldner/in", since the claim has been made that I the living man Steven am the debtor and not the creditor, I now demand to see the evidence. If I the living man Steven am not the debtor, then how do any juristic persons making a claim against the person "Steven Kisilevich" intend to get the charges balanced since I the living man Steven am not the trustee or the surety for the person "Steven Kisilevich"?

F. In regards to the text: "Herr", since the claim has been made that either I the living man Steven or the person "Steven Kisilevich" is a Lord or their own Lord, I now demand to see the evidence.

G. In regards to the text: "Heideweg 96a 25469 Halstenbek", since the claim has been made that I the living man Steven am an addressee (auf Deutsch: Adressat) or the trustee for an addressee or the surety for an addressee, I now demand to see the evidence.

Adressat - Person an welche sich die Norm wendet, Person deren Verhalten durch die angeordnete Rechtsfolge beeinflusst werden soll, Person an die eine Willenserklärung gerichtet ist. ^ Etymologisches Rechtswörterbuch, G. Köbler, 1995

H. In regards to the text: "Termin am Mittwoch, 17. Dezember 2025", since the claim has been made that I the living man Steven was invited to a meeting or appointment, or was the trustee or surety for any person that had an appointment, I now demand to see the evidence.

I. In regards to the text: "erschienen", since the claim has been made that I the living man Steven needed to appear or was the trustee or surety for any person that was suppose to appear, I now demand to see the evidence.

Perhaps someone can explain how a birth certificate can travel under its own might and out of its own accord and free will to appear and speak at a court appointment. A man or a woman cannot appear to be themselves, either the man or woman are themselves or they are not themselves, anything else is a lie and deceptive speach or words. A man or woman simply be (live) and do not appear to be (a presumption of being alive).

The deeds of the legal realm operate under many presumptions (likely since the Cestui Que Vie Act of 1666) or under the "color of law" that has the appear-ance of the law yet lacks the substance (sub-stance) of the law and it is a realm filled with very likely smoke and mirrors, for sure holding many quasi-contracts and likes to use deceptive terms and play deceptive word games such as "implied consent."

Implied consent - that manifested by signs, actions, or facts, or by inaction or silence, which raise a presumption that the consent has been given.

Can anyone say that after having questioned and examined an 8 month pregnant woman that she is presumed to be with child? Either the woman is carrying a child in her womb or she is not and the same can be said about having consent (i.e. a contract), either one has it or they don't. In the realm of the living, either consent has been given or it has not been given, for example, either a man makes a covenant (a bond, a contract) with the Almighty God or he does not, no presumptions exist. However, in the legal fictional realm the living are presumed to be dead slaves that are owned and controlled by corporations (unbeknown to most people) with their bonds being traded, bought and sold, making them believe that they are the surety and trustees for many dead legal entities, juristic persons, etc. Interestingly, when the workers of iniquity and/or lawlessness (those practicing legalese, (old English) deviling, legalism and live by the legal way) make a contract or bond with the master they are serving, there are also no presumptions.

A man cannot serve two masters, either a man chooses to live and serve under the realm of the law and the living in fact and Truth or a man chooses to live and serve under the legal realm of dead entities in fiction and lies, no man can choose both. In this life I have come to learn that a man can only choose to belong to the Almighty God the Creator of Heaven and earth or choose to belong to this world and in the end they will always be serving the ruler of this world no matter what they imagine or are tricked into believing.

J. In regards to the text: "§ 882c ZPO", since the claim has been made that I the living man Steven am under an obligation or duty or am the trustee or surety for a person or legal fiction that has obligations or duties to any of the paragraphs (§) within that DR II 1308/25 instrument, I now demand to see the evidence.

Can anyone explain how a birth certificate (Personalausweis, etc.) can perform and carry out any duties without it being as a parasite and exist only by feeding off the living?

A Maxim of law: "Fiction cannot harm a man, but a man who believes he is a fiction can be harmed."

"Fictio Cedit Veritati. Fictio Jures Non Est UBI Veritas."
Fiction yeilds to truth. Where there is truth, fiction of law exists not.
~ Maxim of Law

"Actus Me Invito, Non Est Meus Actus."
The act done by me against my will is not my act.
~ Maxim of Law

K. In regards to the text: "ihrer öffentlichen Aufgaben", since the claim has been made that I the living man Steven must fulfill public duties and that I do not live in the private, I now demand to see the evidence.

L. In regards to the text: "Person signiert", if any persons are claiming that I the living man Steven am under any obligation to sign, then I demand to see the evidence. If any persons are claiming that the birth certificate with the name of the person "Steven Kisilevich" needs to sign something, then I would like to see how that is going to work.

M. In regards to the text: "Amtsgericht Pinneberg", since the claim has been made that the court Amtsgericht Pinneberg, located at Osterbrooksweg 42+44 22869 Schenefeld has jurisdiction over me the living man Steven, I now demand to see the evidence.

Any court attempting to proceed without jurisdiction is coram non judice and as such will be held liable for any acts of harm, injury and crimes that it has made or been an accomplice to against me the living man Steven.

"Actus judiciarius coram non judice irritus habetur"
A judicial act by a judge without jurisdiction is void
~ Black's Law Dictionary, 4th revised Edition, 1968

I the living man Steven will be seeking remedy for damages to my liberty to the sum of 200 (two-hundred) Euros for every hour and for every broken hour that my body has been unlawfully detained, where my liberty and unalienable rights have been trespassed against. Charges will also be laid and will follow for all the other crimes that were committed.

If any living being or persons claim that a crime has been committed by me, the living man Steven, then I demand to see the evidence.

If any persons claim that a crime has not been committed by me the living man Steven, then I demand to know by what right and by what laws did the police show up at our home on Tuesday, the 27th of January, as well as by what right and laws am I being detained against my full and genuine consent, where my unalienable rights are being trespassed against and where my liberty is being denied.

If any persons claim to have a contract with me the living man Steven, then I now demand to see the evidence of consensus ad idem (meeting of the minds), the terms and conditions that were fully disclosed as well as certain and fixed where it has been agreed upon by both parties with my free and genuine consent, with my signature, and made honestly in good faith (Bona Fide).

If any persons claim that I the living man Steven am the surety or trustee, then I demand to see the evidence.

"Ei Incumbit Probatio Qui Dicit, Non Qui Negat."
Upon him rests the burden of proof who avers, not upon him who denies.

If any persons claim to have jurisdiction (juris-dictio; to speak the law) over me the living man Steven, then I now demand to see the evidence.

If any persons claim that I the living man Steven am an Adressat, then I now demand to see the evidence.

If any persons claim that I the living man Steven am the trustee or the surety for an Adressat, then I now demand to see the evidence.

If any persons claim to have the free, genuine and willing consent of me the living man Steven, that was made without deceit or the intent to deceive, nor made under the color of law or by any presumptions of law or by way of fraud, constructive fraud, constructive consent, implied consent or by any combination of the above, then I now demand to see the evidence.

If any persons claim that a meeting of the minds has occured with me the living man Steven, then I demand to see the evidence.

If any persons claim that it is the "Befehlgeber" for the living man Steven, then please provide the evidence.

If any persons claim that I the living man Steven was of sound mind and body as well as competent to consent and contract on the evening of January 27th 2026 ~~the~~ after having been assaulted and receiving multiple injuries and kicks to the head and body by the police, then I now demand to see the evidence.

If any persons claim that I the living man Steven am a Canadian ("Kanadier") or that I the living man Steven am the trustee or surety for any person that is called a "Canadian", then I demand to see the evidence.

I the living man Steven was borne on a landmass commonly known as Canada, yet this does not make me the living man a Canadian. To be

a Canadian and thereby a citizen of Canada, a living being is securitized, bonded and made a trustee and surety for any number of legal fictions (persons, Ens Legis) by way of legal instruments such as birth certificates, social insurance number (SIN number), any kind of liceance, identity cards, passport, etc. Looking back in my own life I the living man Steven have come to learn that these so called contracts and consents were made without having given full disclosure, there was never a meeting of the minds (consensus ad idem; it is impossible for a living being to form a contract or consent with a fictional legal entity such as a person, only living beings can contract with living beings and only persons can contract to persons, this is a long known truth and fact, just like everyone should know that a pencil cannot form and make a contract with man or gain a mans consent, for it (the pencil) is a simple object, it has no life, no breath of life, no mind and no soul, therefor it as well as all other legal fictional entities and characters such as persons can never gain a mans consent or have a contract with a man unless the man is being decieved, tricked, lied to, fed false information and/or has not been given full disclosure) and therefore it is all not being done in good faith and in all honesty, which means that there never was a valid contract or consent, null and void ab initio (from the very beginning).

All contracts and consents can be canceled and revoked at any time. Once a living being arrives at and discovers the Truth, when they begin to discern and learn of the deception, tricks, fraud, lies and endless entangled weightless dead words of persons then it is within their will to rebut, revoke, rebuke, cancel, command, renounce and deny any and all who tempt or make attempts to form bonds (contracts, Bündnisse).

I the living man Steven am not the surety and not the trustee for any person or legal fiction (Ens Legis) such as a Canadian, citizen, resident, liceancee, addressee, etc and hereby renounce, revoke, cancel, rebuke and deny any and all securitizations, bonds, trusts, contracts and consents with any and all kinds of persons as well as any and all further attempts to securitize, bond, contract and gain consent with me the living man Steven or to make me a surety or trustee for any person or legal fiction (Ens Legis) now and forever, with the Almighty God (Elohim) as my witness.

A maxim of law says: "Fiction cannot harm a man, but a man who believes he is a fiction can be harmed."

If any persons claim that there was or is a "Haftbefehl" for me the living man Steven or that I the living man Steven was or is the trustee or surety for a person that has or had a "Haftbefehl", then I demand to see the evidence.

Gouverneur - Statthalter, Befehlshaber

If any persons claim that I the living man Steven am under the jurisdiction of a governor, state, politician, court, judge, ministry, corporation, person, act, statute, ordinance, legislation, rule, regulation or code in this world, then I demand to see the evidence.

Was the birth certificate, passport, liceance, etc the one that ~~got~~ was arrested at my home on Tuesday the 27th 2026, then assaulted, detained, kidnapped, inprisoned and ransomed for bills of debt or goods?

No, it happened to me the living man Steven. Even now while I the living man Steven am writing this, I have never seen or been allowed to see the "Haftbefehl" that was suppose to be for me/ against me, as on the evening of January the 27th 2026 my eyes suffered due to multiple kicks to the face and head by the police and therefor my eyes were nearly swollen shut and blurry to be able to make out anything but crude forms of moving bodies and a swirl of colors, therefor till this day I have never seen a "Haftbefehl". I once caught a glimpse of a copy "Haftbefehl" within a folder on the desk of the director ~~Seger~~ Serger when I was told to come and speak with her. The name on that "Haftbefehl" was not my name, it was a persons name, the name of a legal fiction (Ens Legis). As of this writing I do not see any birth certificate, liceance, passport or any other person, legal instrument or legal fiction sharing this prison cell with me the living man Steven, even though the commercial institution operating and running this cell have put the legal person name of "Steven Kisilevich" on the door where I am being detained and falsely imprisoned.

This world looks hell-bent on forcing the legal deceptions upon the living souls who are made to believe that they are servants, slaves, trustees, and surety for the legal fictions and instruments.

In fact and in truth, the lies and desception ended a few days after the 3rd and Final Notice was served to the Ministry of Justice in Bonn on the 20th of October 2023 when it decided to leave the battlefield, it lost and the Truth stands from then till now and forever!
I had two witnesses sign that 3rd and Final Notice that was sent to the Ministry of Justice, and all the Heavenly hosts are my witnesses as well!

Over two years later the peace has again been breached, this time multiple crimes have been committed against me the living man Steven, having greatly suffered, been assaulted, falsely accused, falsely imprisoned, unlawfully detained, unlawfully arrested, was robbed of my rights, was never informed as to my rights, kidnapped, ransomed, terrorised, harassed, threatened, trespassed against by way of the peace being breached and trespassed against by way of land, and am ~~am~~ still currently being held against my will (till today I have signed nothing and never gave my consent since the police showed up at our home on the evening of the 27th of January 2026) likely again under the false presumptions of being a trustee or surety for a legal fiction or person or by some crafty trick by trying to manufacture my consent. No legal entity or juristic person is ever going to get my consent, not then, not now, not ever and the same goes as well in regards to my autograph/signature, it will never be ~~feet~~ freely given, only under duress, and I the living man Steven have been under duress since being unlawfully detained.

I demand Justice and the living man Steven declares to all that I have kept my honor, I do not stand within or under any courts or jurisdictions that man has created with his own hands and thoughts until any man or person can prove otherwise and to do that they will need to prove that the word of the Almighty God is wrong, that I do not stand under the jurisdiction of my Almighty God who is the Creator of Heaven and earth, or else they will need to prove that they have jurisdiction over the Almighty God in order to have jurisdiction over me.

I demand to know the name of the judge who signed the "Haftbefehl" as they will need to be held accountable upon their full commecial liability as

well as in their private capacity. There is no legal robe a judge can hide behind when crimes need to be accounted for.

"denn YHWH ist ein Richter, und vor ihm gilt kein Ansehen der Person."
~Sirach 35:15

In the event that no judge signed the "Haftbefehl", then whoever gave the order is to be held accountable upon their full commecial liability and in their private capacity.

Privity of Contract - a contract exists only between the parties. No third-party can obtain rights contained within a contract, or buy or sell a contract, without the express permission of the original parties.

If the woman of the family Meldau acting in the role as an "Obergerichtsvollzieherin" is claiming to be a party to any existing contract between me the living man Steven and another party (the original parties) or between a person "Steven Kisilevich" and another party (the original parties), then I demand to see the evidence and to know how the rights contained within the contract were obtained, bought or sold without asking my express permission and if a claim is being made that my express permission was given, then I demand to see the evidence.

Bis zum 31.07.2012 galten die §§ 1 und 2 der GVO:
§1 GVO Rechtsstellung des Gerichtsvollziehers
Der Gerichtsvollzieher ist Beamter im Zinne des Beamtenrechts.
§2 GVO Dienstbehörde
1. Dienstbehörde des Gerichtsvollziehers ist das Amtsgericht, bei dem er beschäftigt ist.

2. Unmittelbarer Dienstvorgesetzter des Gerichtsvollziehers ist der aufsichtführende Richter des Amtsgerichts.

§1 GVO wurde ab 01.08.2012 ersatzlos aufgehoben.

Der §2 GVO lautet seit dem 01.08.2012 wie folgt:

§2 GVO Dienstaufsicht

Bei der ihm zugewiesenen Zwangsvollstreckung handelt der Gerichtsvollzieher selbstständig. Er unterliegt hierbei zwar der Aufsicht aber nicht der unmittelbaren Leitung des Gerichts.

Seit dem 01.08.2012 fehlt einem Gerichtsvollzieher damit die Legitimation, (mit Gewalt) hoheitliche Vollstreckungsakte zu vollziehen.

Original Parties of a contract will herein be known as "Original Party 1" (OP1) and "Original Party 2" (OP2). A "Gerichtsvollzieher" (GV) operates their own small business of buying debts (or alleged debts) from an OP1 (automatically making the GV a third-party to an existing contract and in breach to the privity of contract without having the express permission from OP1 and OP2), likely at a fraction of the full amount that was likely in dispute between the original parties of the contract and then the GV proceeds to collect the full amount or more from the OP2.

The next problem that arrises for any commercial GV is that they still need to have a contract with the OP2, since it is their common practice to not ask for the express permission from OP1 and OP2 to become a third-party to the original contract. This is when a GV can get really nasty and tries every trick and deception to constructively gain consent.

Is it not written that when two parties are in agreement and a price has been paid for the full amount of a debt, no matter who paid it, that at that moment there is no more debt? This is one of the thousands of such information that I the living man Steven have learned over the last few years from my Advocate and lawful Counsellor, that when a debt has been paid, no matter by whom or for what agreed price, the debt is automatically cancelled (getilgt) and no longer exists! So, how then can a GV agree on a price to buy an (alleged) debt from one of the original parties of a contract and then try to collect from the other one of the original parties of a contract? Did not the GV already pay for the (alleged) debt by buying it? Yes! If there is no longer any debt, then what is the GV still trying to do? Simple, the GV is operating a small commercial business to buy questionable debts and then proceed to deceiving people, pressuring them, harassing them, preying and feeding off of peoples fears and using many tricks such as tacit agreement, implied consent, constructive consent, constructive fraud and more. From my experiance and research into this civil, commercial and contract area, it is often corrupt and dirty and unless these people acting in the roles as a GV do not repent and change their ways, then they will have a lot to answer for when the day of judgement comes upon all of mankind and they will no longer be able to deny that they did not know the Truth.

Since the small business of the GV operates solely within the commercial realm (civil law, law of contracts), then it raises the question as to what the police were doing at our home on Tuesday evening of the 27th of January this year, when it is clear that this was a civil matter. Why were the police standing at the door of our home barking loud commands that I the living man Steven was to speak with them and come out of my home or open the door for them to come in? Why were the police breaching the peace and working in tandem with a small business? These and many more questions will need to be answered, especially since the police committed multiple crimes against me the living man,

I, Steven Douglas, a man created in the image of the Almighty God, will herein write down in my own words and by my very hand the events that took place at our home on the 27th of January this year in this lawful document to be a record and a testimony to Heaven above and to all mankind as well as all agents, principles, persons and parties that are involved, the facts and truth as to what happened to me on the evening when it all began.

It began for me when many police showed up at our home and demanded that I open the door, to come down (because I was speaking from our bathroom window located on the upper level) to come out and speak with them (I felt more comfort and secure speaking to them from the upper window). The police kept saying that they had a "Haftbefehl", but they refused to tell me the name on the "Haftbefehl".

I explained to the police that I was a living man and not the juristic person (the legal fiction) who does not live in our home and informed them that I was not the trustee or surety for any legal persons and that I do not consent to these proceedings and denied them any access to gaining my consent. I asked the police three times if I the living man comitted any crimes or was suspected of any crimes. The police would not answer and thereby fell into dishonor and I was left to accept their silence to my questions as their agreement. I made it known that I reserve all rights, non waived, no prejudice!

I then proceeded to clarify that since no crime had been committed, and I was not suspected of any crime and told the police that this was therefore a civil matter. I asked the police if we had a contract, but again they could not show anything. I asked three times and all three times the police could not show a contract. Again the police fell into dishonor. I then asked the police if no crime was made by me and I am not suspected of a crime and they could show no contract between us, then why are they at my home?

The police kept insisting that they had a "Haftbefehl", that I should come down and open the door. Again I served the police with a verbal Notice that I do not consent, nor do I ever intend to give them my free and genuine consent, that they are trespassing by way of private land (landfriedensbruch) because they do not have my permission nor my consent and that they are breaching the peace (friedensbruch), told them that these laws are found in their German criminal code and then I demanded that they remove themselves immediately from our private land and cease and desist and to stop harassing me.

After having said this the police were getting so agitated or angry that they began threatening to break down the door, that they will come in no matter what, then shortly after they back-peddled a bit and said that they will have a locksmith come and open the door for them, and that I will have to pay for it! After having listened to such garbage and threats and they could show zero evidence, I closed the window and left (the bathroom).

I went to talk to my wife and children to explain what was happening. A little later I went into our kitchen, and opened the window a little to talk to the police again. I told them, again, that I as a living man do not consent and unless they could show evidence of having my willing consent or a contract that they have zero jurisdiction. The police kept repeating the same "wir haben ein Haftbefehl". I closed the kitchen window and went to talk with my wife and children again. For the last two years we have a No Trespassing Notice hanging on that kitchen window.

The third time talking to the police, I opened the upstairs bathroom window and reminded the police (told them again) that I was a living man created in the image of the Almighty God, made out of flesh and blood proving that I am a man and not representing a man or a person. I reminded the police that if they could not provide any evidence of a crime, probable cause or suspicion of

a crime, then it remains a civil matter, and that in civil matters the police should not be breaching the peace and trespassing by way of land. I told them that they still have not shown me a contract. One of the police men reached into his left pants pocket, a large side pocket and pulled out some kind of a paper and said they had a "Haft-befehl" and then quickly stuck it back into his pocket. The police would never let me get a closer or a good look at this "Haft-befehl", even when I was very close to them at the kitchen window, they did not want me to see or read the "Haftbefehl." I asked them: from what court? They would not answer. I asked them: from what judge? Again, they would not answer. I asked them: if it was signed by a judge? To my surprise the police said "Yes, it was signed by a judge." That changed everything, I was thinking how could a judge sign a court order to have me a living man arrested, under what jurisdiction and for what crime? If what the police are saying is true that a real judge signed it then I would be bringing and asking for trouble upon me and my family. Because of the police having already made multiple threats of breaking down the door (I witnessed the police having done this more than a year ago with one of my neighbours) so I was already quite concerned for my wife and children and thought it best to go out and meet with the police, to get a closer look at this "Haft-befehl" and to see who signed it, issued it and under what name.

This was likely my only mistake, if you are in the right and they can show no wrong doing, then never leave the safety of the home (castle doctrine), a man's home is his castle.

My wife told me that there were police at the back gate of our garden, standing on and thereby trespassing on Fr. Ludwigsen's land because they

did not ask her permission. After breifly explaining to the police at the back garden gate that I was going to walk around to the front, they would not let me and they looked eager to grab me. I figured that since they looked younger in service years and very likely did not hear what I told the police at the front of the house that it was not going to work.

The reason why I did not want to go through the front door, is that I did not trust the police and thought that they would likely take advantage of me opening the front door as some kind of implied agreement, I wanted to keep my family safe so the next best idea was to walk accross my neighbours yard to get to the front of our home. My wife and I help and look after the neighbour's yard so I knew there was no harm and that I am not trespassing. Again the police trespassed.

This is where a majority of the police converged to attack me, they instantly presumed to have consent and jurisdiction even though they had none. A little over a year ago I served the police with a Notice of approx. seven crimes they committed and told them in that lawful document (that they never rebutted) who I was and my lawful standing as a man. Moreover, over the years the police have shown up to our home always demanding my consent to contract for one thing or another. This harassment has been going on for years, not to mention a total mistake on identity. At this point the police had been very well informed before they proceeded to now add to their growing list of crimes. That evening the police committed an unlawful arrest, unlawful detainment, assault, assault with a weapon (past court rulings show that police tactical boots, especially those with steel caped toe protection is on par with using a weapon, even court rulings that a trained fighter's "Kampfsportler" fists are considered as a weapon, police are also trained to fight,

the muscles in their legs are far more powerful than someones arm and kicking someone with that much traing, muscle and tactical boots is no different in damage inflicted than someone using an aluminium baseball bat, in both cases severe damage is inflicted), fraud, kidnapping, conduct unbecoming, harassment, breaching the peace, trespassing by way of land, making threats and false imprisonment. Writing this off the top of my head without any resource books, I am sure that I can find a few more crimes, such as the police were carrying weapons (armed) while trespassing on the land and I was unarmed.

I told the police (approx. 9 or 10 of them) that I was a living man, I committed no crime and I do not consent while they were grabbing at me, wanting to arrest me, I was unarmed, I did not fight, I did not breach the peace. No charges were ever brought against me, or mentioned. At that moment one of the male officers grabbed my head and rammed it againt the brick wall of my neighbours house, then they proceeded to take me down to the ground. Even while I was on the ground (chest facing down) I kept asking the police why are they doing this, saying that I am a man and not a person or a trustee for a person and that I will never consent that I deny them my consent. After having said these words the police began ruthlessly kicking and relentlessly beating me. As I cannot remember the exact sequence because of multiple kicks happening so close together, I will list a few to give the reader an example. Bear in mind that as the police are wearing tactical boots that mostly have steel toe protection every kick feels like a baseball bat. I was kicked by the police in the right ear and then it began to bleed. The police kicked me on the bridge of my nose and fractured it, the police kicked me in my left eye and when I tried to turn my head away from the extreme pain to my left eye another kick hit my face near my right eye. The left eye became bloody and began to swell shut and I could no longer see properly through my left eye and remained nearly closed for several

days. My right eye also became very swollen and badly bruised, the bruises lasted longer and were even larger on my right side eye than the left side, visibility also became very poor. The police kicked me so hard in the teeth that one of the top center teeth got chipped and a piece cracked off of one of my wisdom teeth (top right side). The police kicked me in the ribs and on the left side of my rib cage some of the bones were fractured. The police were kicking and beating so hard that my body lost control of its bowel functions. The police dished out many more kicks and punches that left a lot of pain and bruises all over my body. For the first 2-3 days I could hardly move and spent nearly 24 hours of the day in bed. I could not eat for 2-3 days.

Then the police proceeded to lift me up off the ground, barking commands that I'm suppose to get up. At this point the multiple kicks to the head as well as having my head smashed by the police, my eyesight was very poor and blurry, I was feeling dissy and disorientated, I could hardly hear out of my right ear and I was feeling extreme pain signals from all over my body telling my brain that I'm still alive.

The police brought me in handcuffs to their vehicle at the front of the house and with multiple attempts were telling me they were calling an ambulance. I could no longer concentrate, my brain was no longer working properly, I felt overwhelmed with all the pain signals and my mind was drifting. I remember asking myself what is going on here. Why did the police assault me with such cruelty and then pretend to be nicer? Had I been able to think clearly, that was the huge clue, they still did not have my free and genuine consent, they still needed jurisdiction, even though so much damage had already been done and so many crimes committed. While my body and brain were trying to cope with the pain, I was no longer

able to see, focus, think or hear properly, my body felt that it was starting to shut down. I was feeling very cold and hungry as it was (empty) appox. 7 hours since I had last eaten anything. My body wanted to go to sleep.

All of the actions and deeds of the police up until that point prove that the police were acting outside of their jurisdiction, they were still trying to manufacture consent through constructive fraud. The police needed (even though denied) something to gain consent and thereby jurisdiction. When the ambulance arrived, someone that I could not see and could barely hear asked if I would like medical attention. Of course I went along, what man in my condition wouldn't need medical help? Had my head and brain not suffered so many blows and my mind been allowed to work properly I would have clued in what the police were trying to do, how they were trying to deceive and trick me into gaining a consent, but I was in no condition to think or process clearly as I was no longer of sound mind and body. I also did not see the danger as I made it very clear I was a man and not a person nor a trustee or surety for any persons and I had declared as a man that I reserved all my rights, waived none, and without prejudice. Any presumptions on their part of thinking that they can still gain consent (even though I denied them and any attempts thereof) would automatically be null and void, ab initio. When I went along with the police to get medical attention, I went as a man who was in dire straights. People need to be of sound mind and body in order to contract (give their consent), my consent needs to be given freely and genuine, it cannot be obtained by force, threats and being beaten, there also needs to be full disclosure, made in honesty and in good faith, no deceit is allowed, there also needs to be a meeting of the minds consensus ad idem where this further proves that it is impossible for a living being to contract with any legal fiction (Ens Legis), as the police could show zero

evidence that I was a trustee or surety for any juristic person, and a man cannot be a trustee or surety for a person if there was no full disclosure, honesty and in good faith.

I was placed in the ambulance, I never said yes, then the medical staff began looking me over, asking questions. I could not answer many questions because I had a hard time concentrating and was not able to properly process information anymore, and everytime a question came that seemed like a trap I would tell the men in the ambulance that I cannot answer or I do not consent, I told them I was a man and not the juristic person, for example when they would ask for a name I would only say Steven, or from the family of kisilevich, when they asked for an address, I would not respond, instead I told them that I was not an "Adressat" after a short pause and with great effort. When they asked for health insurance company I told them that I cannot consent but that my family is covered. One of my responses "Ich wohne nicht, ich lebe... noch" seems to sum things upon such occations. At some point I began to black out and one of the ambulance workers must have grabbed me and layed me down on a stretcher, before passing out I remember telling the ambulance workers that the police did this to me so that they would write it in their report. The last conversation I can remember hearing, I was layed down on my right side so my left ear could still hear but I could see almost nothing anymore, was the ambulance workers telling the police that I likely have a concussion and they were loud enough in telling the police to get to and follow or come with them right now because they need to leave for a hospital NOW.

I remember nothing of the travel to the hospital, when we arrived I was still feeling dissy and chose to kneel on the floor and put my head onto one of the examining tables. After what seemed like a very long wait, they took me to have an MRI scan, but I would only agree to the scan if the police

promised to allow me to wash up after. After I got mostly cleaned up, they took me to get an x-ray.

The woman technician working there asked me where it hurts and I told her (pointing to) the left side of my rib cage, next to the biceps when the arm is hanging in the down position. To my surprise the technician began taking photos of the right side of my rib cage, then she came to me saying that we were done and I asked her "was machen Sie?" "Ich habe die Linke seite gesagt, nicht die Rechte seite!" "Sie müssen hier" (pointing to the area again on the left side rib cage). She gave me a blank stare as though she did not know what to say. Then she proceeded to take a photo where I was standing at a near 45° angle with my right side closer to the x-ray camera and my left side rib cage nearly facing the backing-board from the x-ray machine. Again I was shocked at how incompetent this technician was (it seemed more like she was playing a game, perhaps trying to cover up evidence), so I began explaining to her that she needed to take a photo of my left side rib cage facing the x-ray camera, standing at a 90° angle with the front of my body facing her office with the computer and where the police were standing perfectly still and ~~qui~~ quiet the whole time. Then the technician began to argue that she knew what she was doing (yes, she knew what she was doing, it's called conceiling evideace - a cover up) and then she said that we were done.

After spending likely a few hours in the hospital, then the police brought me to a prison, they call it a detainment center in Itzehoe. No matter what it is called, I did not consent, I told them that I was a man and not the juristic person or the trustee or surety for any person and I did not sign any of their papers, as it was an unlawful arrest, I never consented, I reserved all my rights and waived none. I already proved all this in the past Notices that I had sent and served to all agents and principles, therefore I don't need to prove anything anymore to them.

When I leave this prison, I will leave it the same way I came, with no signature.

Any party making a claim needs to present credible evidence, as any claims, presumptions, allogations and preponderances are not enough; the evidence must be clear and certain. When there is no proof of a valid claim, then there is non-sufficient evidence to set forth a valid complaint.

Due to having already served previous Notices to many agents and principles in the last years, all of whom lost, thereby establishing the Truth that I the living man Steven am not the trustee or surety for any trust, juristic person and legal fictions (Ens Legis); further evidencing that I do not stand under any man made jurisdiction, acts, statutes, ordinances, legislation, rules, regulations, codes, legal presumptions, governing entities, legal entities, policy, orders, force, actions, inferences, implications, or assumptions there for meaning it is no longer necessary to extend any more time (since in the past a lot of time was given) than 7 days (seven days = 1 week). If the parties given Notice by means of this written document cannot provide credible evidence for a rebuttal within seven days (7days = one week), that needs to be written to me the living man Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich, in claim format sworn under oath or attestation, under penalty of perjury, upon their full commercial liability and upon their full private liability, on a point-by-point basis to the claims that were made in the "DR II 1308/25" instrument and the questions herein this document, then your silence will stand as consent to and tacit agreement of the Facts, Faith, Truth and Asseverations herein being established as a matter of fact, a fact that when it comes into effect, then all parties, agents, principles and juristic persons must cease and desist. I came in peace, I demand to be left in peace and I demand to be released from where I am being held against my will.

All grammer, omissions and any words written incorrectly will be accepted as is. I the living man Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich hereby asseverate that all the facts herein this lawful document are true to the best of my ability. I put my faith years ago in the Almighty God, Creator of Heaven and earth and the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, and I put my trust in our soon coming Messiah who is my King and Master and I stand only under His authority and jurisdiction, then, now and forever. I plead my case to Heaven above as it is the highest and oldest court known to mankind and one day soon the Messiah will be the highest Judge over all the living and the dead.

Written on the date only the Almighty God knows but commonly known in this world as the 14th of February in the year 2026

By my very hand; By my Thumbprint:
Steven Douglas:
from the family of Kisilevich (Creditor)
All rights reserved none waived without prejudice

"das soll der Bund sein, den ich mit dem Hause Israel schließen will nach dieser Zeit, spricht YHWH: Ich will mein Gesetz in ihr Herz geben und in ihren Sinn schreiben, und Ich will ihr Elohim sein und sie sollen mein Volk sein." ~ Jeremia 31:33

"Wer an ihn glaubt, wird nicht zuschanden werden." ~ Jesaja 28:16

Abraham hat Elohim geglaubt, und das wurde ihm zur Gerechtigkeit gerechnet. ~ 1 Mose 15:6

"der Gerechte aber wird durch seinen Glauben leben." ~ Habakuk 2:4

Exhibit A. Photocopy of the Deutsche Post receipt and delivery (served) confirmation.
Exhibit B. The 12 presumptions of Court.
Exhibit C. Copy of the DR II 1308/25 instrument with rebutted notations.

Exhibit A.

Deutsche Post

Deutsche Post AG
Siebentunnelweg 18
25469 Halstenbek
81014612 18.10.2023 16:13

	EUR
4190 Labelfreimachung Briefzusatzleistungen	3,95 A,1
Bruttoumsatz	***3,95 EUR**
umsatzsteuerbefreit nach §4 UStG A	
Nettoumsatz A	*3,95 EUR
Barzahlung	20,00 EUR
Rückgeld/Auszahlung	16,05 EUR

In Namen und auf Rechnung
1 Deutsche Post AG

Steuernummer der Deutsche Post AG:
5205/5777/1510

TSE

Transaktionsnummer/Signaturzähler 35905/81768
TSE-Start/Ende 18.10.2023 16:13:23/16:13:34
EAS-S/N 00256547
TSE-S/N C08yun9qr/UoKN9wGLPEwHJV23OWnBlvIObXzw8Ck/M=
Prüfwert zUlCbL5J7AH3Q08upj/uUlmdO6qCs2I/ImCJzUw7yITMJ
eM66K9eaZdXIgVoxveleDLspfat/MR4NO3UMsDU1PFu1kR95SNXwsJ/
Mf4GrrJn+H62+Pdb5cG6qlXpn8Ss

Einlieferungsbeleg
Bitte Beleg gut aufbewahren!

Deutsche Post AG 25469 Halstenbek
81014612 4189 18.10.23 16:13

Sendungsnummer: RT 5302 5766 1DE
Einschreiben Einwurf

Information zum Sendungsstatus
Code bequem mit unserer App scannen
oder Sendungsnummer unter
www.deutschepost.de/briefstatus eingeben

Kundenservice Brief
0228 4333112
montags bis freitags von 8 bis 18 Uhr

Versandschlusszeit überschritten.
Der Transport der Sendung beginnt
am nächsten Werktag.

Vielen Dank für Ihren Besuch.
Ihre Deutsche Post AG

Exhibit A.

SHIPMENT TRACKING Business customer registration

Deutsche Post and DHL shipment tracking

Keep up to date with the status of your letters and parcels

Track shipment

Enter shipment number

Letter
RT530257661DE

The item was delivered on 20.10.2023.

Detailed tracking history −

GoGreen – Carbon-offset shipping with Deutsche Post

Th, 19.10.2023
The item was delivered on 20.10.2023.

Th, 19.10.2023
The item was posted and is currently being delivered.

Th, 19.10.2023
The item was processed on 20.10.2023 at our Logistics Center Bonn.

We, 18.10.2023
The item was processed on 19.10.2023 at our Logistics Center Elmshorn.

Tu, 17.10.2023
The item was posted on 18.10.2023.

Exhibit B.

12 Presumptions of Court

Gods Law (natural common law) v Roman Law (Private Legal Process):

1. THOU SHALT HAVE NO OTHER GODS BEFORE ME;
2. THOU SHALT NOT MAKE UNTO THEE ANY GRAVEN IMAGE;
3. THOU SHALT NOT TAKE THE NAME OF THE LORD THY GOD IN VAIN;
4. REMEMBER THE SABBATH DAY, TO KEEP IT HOLY;
5. HONOUR THY FATHER AND THY MOTHER;
6. THOU SHALT NOT KILL;
7. THOU SHALT NOT COMMIT ADULTERY;
8. THOU SHALT NOT STEAL;
9. THOU SHALT NOT BEAR FALSE WITNESS AGAINST THY NEIGHBOUR;
10. THOU SHALT NOT COVET THY NEIGHBOUR'S WIFE THOU SHALT NOT COVET THY NEIGHBOUR'S GOODS;

(i) The Presumption of Public Record is that any matter brought before a lower Roman Court is a matter for the public record when in fact it is presumed by the members of the private Bar Guild that the matter is a private Bar Guild business matter. Unless openly rebuked and rejected by stating clearly the matter is to be on the Public Record, the matter remains a private Bar Guild matter completely under private Bar Guild rules; and
I, Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich formally rebut the Presumption of Public Record, as it is by definition a presumption and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.

(ii) The Presumption of Law and Public Service is that all the members of the Private Bar Guild who have all sworn a solemn secret absolute oath to their Guild then act as public agents of the Government, or "public officials" by making additional oaths of public office that openly and deliberately contradict their private "superior" oaths to their own Guild. Unless openly rebuked and rejected, the claim stands that these private Bar Guild members are legitimate public servants and therefore trustees under public oath; and
I, Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich formally challenge (rebut) the Presumption of Law and Public Service. The possibility of this matter being a private matter is rebutted. All persons engaged in the matter at hand, are deemed to be in public service, as public servants.

(iii) The Presumption of Public Oath is that all members of the Private Bar Guild acting in the capacity of "public officials" who have sworn a solemn public oath remain bound by that oath and therefore bound to serve honestly, impartially and fairly as dictated by their oath. Unless openly challenged and demanded, the presumption stands that the Private Bar Guild members have functioned under their public oath in contradiction to their Guild oath. If challenged, such individuals must rescue themselves as having a conflict of interest and cannot possibly stand under a public oath; and
The possibility of this is rebutted and all public servants acting on behalf of this matter are required to state all sworn oaths, both public and private.
Mat 6:24 No man can serve two masters: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to the one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and mammon.

(iv) The Presumption of Immunity is that key members of the Private Bar Guild in the capacity of "public officials" acting as judges, prosecutors and magistrates who have sworn

Exhibit B

a solemn public oath in good faith are immune from personal claims of injury and liability. Unless openly challenged and their oath demanded, the presumption stands that the members of the Private Bar Guild as public trustees acting as judges, prosecutors and magistrates are immune from any personal accountability for their actions; and
This is absolutely rebutted. Anyone acting as an agent for a nameless, faceless corporation is wholly responsible for their actions on behalf of the corporation. All actions incurring any degree of injury will incur Notice of Injury, including severe financial penalty.

(v) The Presumption of Summons is that by custom a summons unrebutted stands and therefore one who attends Court is presumed to accept a position (defendant, juror, witness) and jurisdiction of the court. Attendance to court is usually invitation by summons. Unless the summons is rejected and returned, with a copy of the rejection filed prior to choosing to visit or attend, jurisdiction and position as the accused and the existence of "guilt" stands; and
This presumption is absolutely rebutted, on this occasion, on past occasions, and on all future occasions. However, at no time am I, the living man, Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich absent, nor silent with regard to the matters at hand. I deny all attempts at using tacit agreement against me.

(vi) The Presumption of Custody is that by custom a summons or warrant for arrest unrebutted stands and therefore one who attends Court is presumed to be a thing and therefore liable to be detained in custody by "Custodians". Custodians may only lawfully hold custody of property and "things" not flesh and blood soul possessing beings. Unless this presumption is openly challenged by rejection of summons and/or at court, the presumption stands you are a thing and property and therefore lawfully able to be kept in custody by custodians; and
This presumption is absolutely rebutted. I the living man, Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich, am alive and well. I am not "things", I am not in custody by Custodians or Guardians. I am not the property of any Court or juristic persons. Under no circumstances may I be detained in any way whatsoever, nor at any time, past, present or future. I reserve all rights, none waved, without prejudice.

(vii) The Presumption of Court of Guardians is the presumption that as you may be listed as a "resident" of a ward of a local government area and have listed on your "passport" the letter P, you are a pauper and therefore under the "Guardian" powers of the government and its agents as a "Court of Guardians". Unless this presumption is openly challenged to demonstrate you are both a general guardian and general executor of the matter (trust) before the court, the presumption stands and you are by default a pauper, and lunatic and therefore must obey the rules of the clerk of guardians (clerk of magistrates court); and
I, the living man Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich am at all times the Executor and Guardian of all my matters including those currently under discussion, and are the result of a system of debtism, employed by a private banking system and upheld by a private Bar Guild.

(viii) The Presumption of Court of Trustees is that members of the Private Bar Guild presume you accept the office of trustee as a "public servant" and "government employee" just by attending a Roman Court, as such Courts are always for public trustees by the rules of the Guild and the Roman System. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to state you are merely visiting by "invitation" to clear up the matter and you are not a government employee or public trustee in this instance, the presumption stands and is assumed as one of the most significant reasons to claim jurisdiction - simply because you "appeared"; and
Absolutely no jurisdiction, at any time, may be claimed by the Private Bar Guild over me, the living man Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich. The office of trustee is rejected, as I am not the trustee or surety for any persons. Also the role of public servant and government

Exhibit B.

employee is rejected. However, the need for me, the living man Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich to contribute to the wellbeing of community is acknowledged and graciously embraced.

(ix) The Presumption of Government acting in two roles as Executor and Beneficiary is that for the matter at hand, the Private Bar Guild appoint the judge/magistrate in the capacity of Executor while the Prosecutor acts in the capacity of Beneficiary of the trust for the current matter. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to demonstrate you are both a general guardian and general executor of the matter (trust) before the court, the presumption stands and you are by default the trustee, therefore must obey the rules of the executor (judge/ magistrate); and
This presumption is rebutted. I the living man Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich am Executor and Beneficiary (as this trust was made without my prior knowledge or consent) with regard to all matters pertaining to the legal person, as well as the man of flesh and blood.

(x) The Presumption of Executor De Son Tort is the presumption that if the accused does seek to assert their right as Executor and Beneficiary over their body, mind and soul they are acting as an Executor De Son Tort or a "false executor" challenging the "rightful" judge as Executor. Therefore, the judge/magistrate assumes the role of "true" executor and has the right to have you arrested, detained, fined or forced into a psychiatric evaluation. Unless this presumption is openly challenged by not only asserting one's position as Executor as well as questioning if the judge or magistrate is seeking to act as Executor De Son Tort, the presumption stands and a judge or magistrate of the private Bar guild may seek the assistance of bailiffs or sheriffs to assert their false claim; and
This is rebutted. Under no circumstances may the Judge attempt to assume the role of Executor. And before I, the living man Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich, stand before a court to discuss matters at hand, the Judge will need to put in writing that this is clearly understood and acknowledged.

(xi) The Presumption of Incompetence is the presumption that you are at least ignorant of the law, therefore incompetent to present yourself and argue properly. Therefore, the judge/ magistrate as executor has the right to have you arrested, detained, fined or forced into a psychiatric evaluation. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to the fact that you know your position as executor and beneficiary and actively rebuke and object to any contrary presumptions, then it stands by the time of pleading that you are incompetent then the judge or magistrate can do what they need to keep you obedient; and
This is rebutted. The Roman Law is under scrutiny for its contribution to the current state of this world, the distribution of rights and resources and the obstruction of natural and Divine Law. In discussion regarding such matters, no privilege of deeming me, the living man Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich, incompetent is permitted.

(xii) The Presumption of Guilt is the presumption that as it is presumed to be a private business meeting of the Bar Guild, you are guilty whether you plead "guilty", do not plead or plead "not guilty". Therefore unless you either have previously prepared an affidavit of truth and motion to dismiss with extreme prejudice onto the public record or call a demurrer, then the presumption is you are guilty and the private Bar Guild can hold you until a bond is prepared to guarantee the amount the guild wants to profit from you; and
This is rebutted. Under no circumstances is a presumption of Guilt allowed. Under no circumstances may I the living man, Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich be detained. Under no circumstances may any financial transaction occur, in the favour of the Guild as the claims of the private bank are investigated.

S. Meldau
Obergerichtsvollzieherin

Friedenstraße 100
25421 Pinneberg
E-Mail: gvmeldau@gvzpost.de

Exhibit C.

Sprechstunden:
Mittwoch 09:00 - 10:00 Uhr
Mittwoch 10:00 - 11:00 Uhr
04101/200707
Außerhalb der Sprechstunde:
Telefon 040/37509741
Telefax 040/37509742
EGVP-Nutzer-ID für ERV:
DE.Justiz.353d77a0-50d4-4517-8b24-43306e4afa4d.3361
Dienstkonto:
Empfänger: S. Meldau
IBAN: DE52 2219 1405 0046 2093 30
BIC: GENODEF1PIN
Bank: VR Bank in Holstein

Abs. OGVin Meldau, Friedenstraße 100, 25421 Pinneberg
Falls verzogen, bitte mit neuer Anschrift zurück an Absender!

Herrn A.
Steven Kisilevich
B. c/o Justizvollzugsanstalt Itzehoe
Bergstraße 5
25524 Itzehoe

DR II 1308/25
Bitte bei Schreiben und Zahlungen angeben!

Pinneberg, 03.02.2026

Zwangsvollstreckungssache
Finanzministerium SH - Landeskasse, Wilhelminenstraße 34, 24114 Kiel, Az.03016387685600 u.a.
C. **gegen**
Herrn Steven Kisilevich, c/o Justizvollzugsanstalt Itzehoe, Bergstraße 5, 25524 Itzehoe

Sehr geehrter Herr Kisilevich,

es ergeht folgende Eintragungsanordnung gem. §882c Abs. 1 Nr. 1 ZPO

Sie sind in das Schuldnerverzeichnis einzutragen, weil Sie Ihrer Pflicht D. zur Abgabe der Vermögensauskunft nicht nachgekommen sind. Der Eintragung werden folgende Daten zugrunde gelegt:

Rollenbezeichnung:	Schuldner/in E.
Geschlecht:	männlich
Anrede:	Herr F.
Vornamen:	Steven
Nachname:	Kisilevich
Geburtsdatum, -ort, -staat:	22.06.1976,
Anschriftentyp:	Verfahrens-/Zustellanschrift
Straße Hausnummer:	Heideweg 96 a
Postleitzahl Ort, Staat:	25469 Halstenbek G.

Begründung

Sie sind zum Termin am Mittwoch, 17. Dezember 2025, trotz ordnungsgemäßer Ladung nicht erschienen, H. §882 c Abs. 1 Nr. 1 ZPO. I.

Belehrung

Gegen diese Eintragungsanordnung nach § 882c ZPO J. können Sie innerhalb von zwei Wochen seit Bekanntgabe dieses Schreibens schriftlich oder zu Protokoll der Geschäftsstelle Widerspruch beim Amtsgericht Pinneberg, Außenstelle, Osterbrooksweg 42 + 44
22869 Schenefeld als Vollstreckungsgericht einlegen (§ 882d Absatz 1 ZPO). Der Widerspruch hemmt nicht die Eintragung in das Schuldnerverzeichnis.
Der Widerspruch kann auch als elektronisches Dokument eingereicht werden. Das elektronische Dokument muss für die Bearbeitung durch das Gericht gemäß §§ 2 und 5 der Elektronischer-Rechtsverkehr-Verordnung – ERVV)

Exhibit C K.

geeignet sein. Eine einfache E-Mail genügt den gesetzlichen Anforderungen nicht. Rechtsbehelfe, die durch einen Rechtsanwalt, durch eine Behörde oder durch eine juristische Person des öffentlichen Rechts einschließlich der von ihr zur Erfüllung ihrer öffentlichen Aufgaben gebildeten Zusammenschlüsse eingereicht werden, sind als elektronisches Dokument einzureichen. Das elektronische Dokument muss

1. mit einer qualifizierten elektronischen Signatur der verantwortenden Person versehen sein und gemäß § 4 ERVV übermittelt werden, wobei mehrere elektronische Dokumente nicht mit einer gemeinsamen qualifizierten elektronischen Signatur übermittelt werden dürfen, oder
2. von der verantwortenden Person signiert und auf einem der sicheren Übermittlungswege, die in § 130a Absatz 4 ZPO abschließend aufgeführt sind eingereicht werden. → L.

Informationen hierzu können über das Internetportal https://justiz.de/laender-bund-europa/elektronische_kommunikation/index.php aufgerufen werden.

Darüber hinaus besteht die Möglichkeit binnen zwei Wochen seit Bekanntgabe dieses Schreibens beim Amtsgericht Pinneberg, Außenstelle, Osterbrooksweg 42 + 44 → M. ← 22869 Schenefeld als Vollstreckungsgericht einen Antrag auf einstweilige Aussetzung der Eintragung zu stellen (§ 882d Absatz 2 ZPO).

Wegen des aktuellen Forderungsstandes setzen Sie sich bitte mit mir in Verbindung, wenn Sie die Eintragung durch Zahlung verhindern möchten.

Besteht zu Gunsten des Schuldners eine Auskunftssperre gem. § 51 des Bundesmeldegesetzes oder wurde ein bedingter Sperrvermerk gem. § 52 des Bundesmeldegesetzes eingerichtet, wird auf die Möglichkeit eines Vorgehens nach § 882 f Abs. 2 ZPO hingewiesen. Der Schuldner hat das Bestehen einer solchen Auskunftssperre oder eines solchen Sperrvermerks gegenüber dem Gerichtsvollzieher glaubhaft zu machen.

Hinweis nach Artikel 15 Datenschutz-Grundverordnung (DS-GVO)

Entsprechend Artikel 15 Datenschutz-Grundverordnung (DS-GVO) kann ein in das Schuldnerverzeichnis eingetragener Schuldner auf Antrag Auskunft erhalten über die zu seiner Person im Schuldnerverzeichnis gespeicherten Daten und über die Empfänger, an die die Daten bis-lang weitergegeben wurden. Der Antrag auf Erteilung der Auskunft kann bei jedem Amtsgericht (Rechtsantragstelle) gestellt werden. Der Antragstellung sind folgende Unterlagen beizufügen:

- Kopie des Personalausweises,
- Mitteilung der aktuellen Anschrift,
- Mitteilung des DR-Aktenzeichens der zugrunde liegenden Eintragung,
- Mitteilung der eintragenden Gerichtsvollzieherin \ des eintragenden Gerichtsvollziehers.

Das Amtsgericht leitet den Antrag an das zuständige Zentrale Vollstreckungsgericht weiter, welches über den Antrag entscheidet. Bei positiver Entscheidung des zuständigen Zentralen Vollstreckungsgerichts erhält der Schuldner per Post ein maschinell erstelltes Schreiben des gemeinsamen Vollstreckungsportals der Länder, welches eine PIN enthält. Mit dieser PIN kann der Schuldner sich unter www.vollstreckungsportal.de → Anmeldung Öffentlichkeit → Selbstauskunft für eingetragene Schuldner in das geschützte System einloggen und die gespeicherten Daten zu seiner Person und zu den Personen/Stellen, die die entsprechende Eintragung im Schuldnerverzeichnis abgerufen haben, einsehen. Weiter enthält das Schreiben des gemeinsamen Vollstreckungsportals der Länder wichtige Informationen zur vorzeitigen Löschung der Eintragung im Schuldnerverzeichnis. **ACHTUNG:** Die Selbstauskunft, die der Schuldner mit Hilfe der PIN erhält, bezieht sich immer nur auf die im Antrag mit DR-Aktenzeichen bezeichnete Eintragung. Sofern ein Schuldner mehrfach im Schuldnerverzeichnis eingetragen ist, muss er für jede Eintragung einen gesonderten Antrag auf Erteilung der Auskunft stellen.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen

Meldau

Meldau
Obergerichtsvollzieherin
beim Amtsgericht Pinneberg

Datenschutzhinweise in Zustellungs- und Zwangsvollstreckungsverfahren bei dem Amtsgericht Pinneberg:
https://www.schleswig-holstein.de/DE/Justiz/LGITZEHOE/Landgerichtsbezirk/Amtsgerichte/Pinneberg/_documents/Datenschutzhinweise-Gerichtsvollzieher_AGPI.html?_blob=publicationFile&v=1

Einlieferungsbeleg
Bitte Beleg gut aufbewahren!

Deutsche Post AG 25462 Rellingen
81014706 4199 18.02.26 16:38

Sendungsnummer: RT 3046 9159 6DE
Einschreiben Einwurf

Information zum Sendungsstatus
Code bequem mit unserer App scannen
oder Sendungsnummer unter
www deutschepost de/briefstatus eingeben

Kundenservice Brief
0228 4333112
montags bis freitags von 8 bis 18 Uhr

Versandschlusszeit überschritten.
Der Transport der Sendung beginnt
am nächsten Werktag.

Vielen Dank für Ihren Besuch.
Ihre Deutsche Post AG

Einschreiben Einwurf RT304691596DE

Deutsche Post

Die Sendung wurde am 20.02.2026 zugestellt.

Auslieferungsbeleg

Der Auslieferungsbeleg ist systemisch mit der Sendungsnummer des Einschreibens verknüpft.

Datum: 20.02.2026

Die Sendung wurde in den Briefkasten bzw. das Postfach der Empfangsperson eingelegt.

Formular: Auslieferungsdokumentation Version 2.0